

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,491	08/27/2001	Cecile Chartier	032751-070 3862	
75	590 01/28/2003		•	
Norman H. Stepno BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER	
			HILL, MYRON G	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1648 DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	9
				- 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>				
_	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/938,491	CHARTIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Myron G. Hill	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears n the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) \(\sum_{\text{claim}} \) 24 20 in/era panding in the application	an .					
4) Claim(s) 24- 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24- 39</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		-)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This action is on claims 24-39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24- 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by the phrase "vector of at least 20 kb into the genome of which an exogenous DNA sequence is inserted." It is not clear what is 20 kb, or if it starts or ends at least 20 kb, what the relation between vector and genome is, and if vector is added to genome that has exogenous DNA inserted.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 24- 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1648

The specification only teaches modification/deletion of the E1, E2, E3 and E4 regions and not other portions of the genome. No other parts of the genome are taught or have examples disclosing what would be done.

Thus, it is concluded that the only portions deleted in possession of applicant at the time of filing are those relating to E1, E2, E3 and E4 regions.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 24- 39 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1- 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,110,735. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 24- 30, and 32- 38 are drawn to the same invention, a method of introducing into a prokaryotic cell a first DNA fragment comprising all or part of an adenovirus genome and a second DNA fragment consisting of an exogenous DNA sequence surrounded by flanking sequences A and B, culturing to allow homologous recombination, and harvesting to the resulting recombinant vector. The dependent claims of Patent and application are drawn to essentially identical limitations.

The two claims not listed above are addressed as follows:

Claim 31 is drawn to a particular peptide participating in cellular ion channels.

Claim 30 of the application and claim 6 of the patent are drawn to a group of exogenous DNA sequences encoding polypeptides including cellular ion channels. The Patent column 4, lines 6-8, discloses a specific example of what is contemplated by cellular ion channel protein and lists CFTR. Claim 39 is directed to a method that is a continuation of the method of claim 24. It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a recombinant adenoviral vector made in claim 24 to transfect eukaryotic cells to produce a viral particle made of a recombinant adenoviral genome.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner January 24, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600